

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,953	06/24/2003	Naveed Mirza	CS21214RL	1085	
20280	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
MOTOROI		NGUYEN, HUY D			
600 NORTH ROOM AS4	US HIGHWAY 45 37	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LIBERTYVI	LLE, IL 60048-5343	2617			
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/602,953	MIRZA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Huy D. Nguyen	2617	

	Huy D. Nguyen	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
							MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	pliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	e filad within too	4b64b4-4-					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			1116 133463 101					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling								
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. □ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) □ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of								
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	oot be entered s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks filed on 5/30/2006, the applicants submitted that Rydbeck does not disclose an actual keypad. The examiner responds that Rydbeck discloses a touchscreen keypad (see figure 7A) which reads on limitation "actual keypad". The examiner submits that limitation "actual keypad" is not even found in the claims. The applicants submitted that a keypad and a display are claimed as "separate elements". The examiner responds that the preceding limitation is not found in the independent claims. The applicants submitted that neither constein nor Rydbeck teach the candy bar style phone. The examiner responds that candy bars come with a variety of sizes and shapes and the phone disclosed in either Constein or Rydbeck reads on limitation "candy bar style phone". The applicants submitted that the motivation is not disclosed in the references. The examiner responds that motivation can be found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The applicants argued about "effective area of the display" which is not even found in the independent claims.

6/2/06

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER